## Section 1 <br> Linear Models
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The linear model has been the mainstay of statistics. Despite the great inroads made by modern nonparametric regression techniques, linear models remain important, and so we need to understand them well.

- theory of least squares
- computational aspects
- distributional aspects
- linear models in Splus
- formulas for expressing models
- contrasts


## Theory of Least Squares

$N$ measurements $x_{i} \in \mathbf{R}^{p}, \quad y_{i} \in \mathbf{R}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N$, $N>p$.
Linear Model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{i j} \beta_{j}+\varepsilon_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varepsilon_{i}$ i.i.d., $\quad \mathbf{E}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$. We either assume the linear model is correct, or more realistically think of it as a linear approximation to the regression model

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Either way, the most popular way of fitting the model is least squares: pick $\beta_{0}, \beta_{j}, j=1, \ldots, p$, to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R S S}\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{i j} \beta_{j}\right)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Vector notation

- Absorb $\beta_{0}$ into $\beta$, and augment the vector $x_{i}$ with a 1 (and let the new dimension be $p$ for simplicity).
- Write

$$
y=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
\vdots \\
y_{N}
\end{array}\right]_{(N \times 1)} \quad X=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
x_{N}^{T}
\end{array}\right]_{(N \times p)}
$$

Then (2) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R S S}(\beta)=\|y-X \beta\|^{2}=(y-X \beta)^{T}(y-X \beta) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial \mathbf{R S S} / \partial \beta=-2 X^{T}(y-X \beta)=0 \\
\Downarrow \\
\hat{\beta}=\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} y
\end{gathered}
$$

if $X^{T} X$ is invertible. This is the text book solution to the least squares problem.

## Geometry of Least Squares

The geometrical solution is more revealing.

$\hat{y}=X \hat{\beta}$ is the orthogonal projection of $y$ onto the subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ spanned by the columns of $X$. This is true even if $X$ is not of full column rank.
Proof: Pythagoras.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y-\hat{y} \perp \mathcal{M} \\
& \stackrel{\imath}{\mathbb{~}} \\
& (y-X \hat{\beta}) \perp x_{j} \forall j \quad\left(x_{j} \text { is a column of } X \text { here }\right) \\
& \Uparrow \downarrow \\
& X^{T}(y-X \hat{\beta})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computational Aspects

Q-R decomposition of $X$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{N \times p} & =Q_{N \times N} R_{N \times p} \\
& \left.=\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1} \\
\hline
\end{array} Q_{2} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l}
0 \\
R \\
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q$ has orthonormal columns: $Q^{T} Q=I$ (and rows?)
$R$ is upper triangular, and may not have full rank:


- For the full rank case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|y-X \beta\|^{2} & =\left\|Q^{T} y-R \beta\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|Q_{1}^{T} y-R_{1} \beta\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{2}^{T} y\right\|^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}=R_{1}^{-1} Q_{1}^{T} y \\
\operatorname{RSS}(\hat{\beta}) & =\left\|Q_{2}^{T} y\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Effects: $e=Q^{T} y$ - Coordinates of $y$ on columns of $Q$.
- $\hat{y}=Q_{1} Q_{1}^{T} y=H y=X\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} y-H$ is known as the hat matrix (because it puts the hat on $y)$.
- Non full rank case $-\operatorname{Rank}(X)=r<p$. We need to solve $Q_{1}^{T} y=R_{11} \beta_{1}+R_{12} \beta_{2}$, where $Q_{1}$ has $r$ columns. There are infinite solutions (more linear parameters than equations). We can set $\beta_{2}=0$, and solve for $\beta_{1}$, but this solution is arbitrary.
- $\hat{y}=Q_{1} Q_{1}^{T} y$ is still well defined, and unique.
- Least squares computations using the QR decomposition is standard practice, and is what is used in Splus. The computations are efficient, and numerically stable. Inverting $X^{T} X$ directly is seldom reccomended.


## Distributional Aspects

- $\operatorname{Cov} \hat{\beta}=\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} \sigma^{2}=\left(R^{T} R\right)^{-1} \sigma^{2}$
- If $\varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2} I\right)$ and the linear model is correct, then $\hat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta,\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} \sigma^{2}\right)$, and this leads to the t-tests for individual parameters that often get printed out by LS software.
- $e=Q^{T} y \sim N\left(R \beta, \sigma^{2} I\right)$, i.e.

$$
\left.\binom{e_{1}}{e_{2}} \sim N\left(\binom{R_{1} \beta}{0}, \sigma^{2} I\right)\right)
$$

and hence $\left\|e_{2}\right\|^{2}=\left\|Q_{2}^{T} y\right\|^{2}=\mathbf{R S S}(\hat{\beta}) \sim \sigma^{2} \chi_{N-p}^{2}$

- Under $H_{0}: \beta=0,\left\|e_{1}\right\|^{2} \sim \sigma^{2} \chi_{p}^{2}$, and $e_{1}$ is independent of $e_{2}$ (why?), hence

$$
\frac{\left\|e_{1}\right\|^{2}}{p} / \frac{\left\|e_{2}\right\|^{2}}{N-p} \sim F_{p, N-p}
$$

Note that $\left\|e_{1}\right\|^{2}=\|\hat{y}\|^{2}$.

## A Language for expressing linear models

Venables and Ripley, page 153+, Chambers and Hastie, 18-44.

Hwt ~Bwt + Sex
"Heart Weight is modelled as Body Weight plus Sex" This implies some numerical setup, namely

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \mathrm{Bwt}_{1} & \mathrm{Sex}_{1} \\
1 & \mathrm{Bwt}_{2} & \mathrm{Sex}_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & \mathrm{Brt}_{N} & \mathrm{Sex}_{N}
\end{array}\right] \quad y=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{Hwt}_{1} \\
\mathrm{Hwt}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\operatorname{Hwt}_{N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Sex is a factor (Male and Female) - What is coded is a contrast - in this case -1 for $\operatorname{Sex}=\mathrm{F}, 1$ for $\operatorname{Sex}=\mathrm{M}$.

Question: Why not use a two column matrix instead?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that the columns sum to 1 - we have introduced a degeneracy or aliasing (more later.)

## Formulas in General

$$
\mathrm{y} \sim \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}+\ldots
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \ldots$ can be

- numeric vectors - these get included into $X$ as is.
- numeric matrices - again these get included as is.
- $k$-level factors - these typically get converted to $k-1$ column contrast matrices, and then inserted into $X$.
- any expression that evaluates to one of the above For example:
- $\log (y) \sim s i n(x)+\operatorname{cut}(z, 3):$ here we first apply the $\log$ and $\sin$ functions to $y$ and x resp.; cut $(z, 3)$ creates a 3-level factor by cutting $z$ in two places (roughly the tertiles), which in turn get coded as contrasts and included in $X$.
- 1/y ~poly (x, 4) + I (z>0):
poly ( $x, 4$ ) produces a matrix of orthogonal polynomials in $x$ - four columns in all, since the constant is omitted. $I(z>0)$ is a dummy variable created from the logical variable $z>0$.


## Contrasts

Consider the one-way layout: $m_{i}=\mu_{0}+\mu_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$X$ is not of full rank, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{y}_{i}=y_{i} & =\mu_{0}^{\prime}+\mu_{i}^{\prime} \\
& =\mu_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\mu_{i}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence there is no way to extract the individual parameters uniquely. But $\hat{y}_{i}-\hat{y}_{j}=\mu_{i}^{\prime}-\mu_{j}^{\prime}=\mu_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\mu_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ is unique. The latter is called an estimable contrast. Similarly $\mu_{i}-\bar{\mu}$ is estimable.

The Gauss-Markov theorem tells us what contrasts are estimable - namely $A \mu$ where $A$ is a linear combination of the rows of $X$.

It makes sense with one row per mean. These are all we have, so we cannot extract more parameters than there are different means.

## Contrast Matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{1} \\
\vdots \\
m_{k}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
1 & C \\
\vdots & (k \times k-1) \\
1 &
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{0} \\
\beta_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\beta_{k-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $C^{T} \mathbf{1}=0$. Then code $u_{i}$ via $C_{p \times p-1}$ rather than $I_{p \times p}$. Note that if $u=C \beta$, then $\mathbf{1}^{T} u=\mathbf{1}^{T} C \beta=0$, and $\therefore \sum_{i} u_{i}=0$.
Example: Helmert contrasts (contr.helmert in Splus):

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example: Traditional mean-zero contrasts (contr.sum in Splus):

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Read page 155 of Venables \& Ripley and page 32 of Chambers \& Hastie.

## More formulas

- interactions - y $\sim \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{y} \sim \mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}$ these imply parameters of the form $\beta_{i j}$ for each crossing of level $i$ of factor a with level $j$ of factor b . What about redundancies caused by intercept? and main effects? How do two way contrasts get coded?
- $\sim \mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}$ or equivalently $\sim 1+\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{b}$ This creates an intercept term, main effects for a and b , and interactions. Suppose we use $C_{a}$ to code the 3 levels of a (using the sum contrasts), and $C_{b}$ to code the 4 levels of $b$ (using the helmert contrasts):

$$
C_{a}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad C_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then the model matrix corresponding to the run sequence $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right),\left(a_{1}, b_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{2}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{3}, b_{4}\right)$ and the formula above would consist of particular tensor products of $1, C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$, best illustrated by the example:

```
\(>a<-\operatorname{factor}(r e p(1: 3, c(4,4,4))\)
\(>\mathrm{a}<-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a}\), "contr.sum") \#
\(>\mathrm{b}<-\operatorname{factor}(\operatorname{rep}(1: 4,3))\)
> b <- C(a, "contr.helmert")
\(>\) model.matrix ( \(\sim a * b\) )
```



- ~a: b ignores the main effects, having just an intercept and interactions.
- $\sim \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{b}-1$ - no intercept, pure interactions.
$>$ model.matrix $(\sim a: b-1)$

|  | a1b1 | a2b1 | a3b1 | a1b2 | a2b2 | a3b2 | a1b3 | a2b3 | a3b3 | a1b4 | a2b4 | a3b4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

- $\sim \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{b}$ or $\sim \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ or $\sim 1+\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \%$ in $\% \mathrm{a}$

This specifies nesting, e.g. State, and County within State.
> model.matrix( $\sim$ a/b)

|  | (Intercept) | a 1 | a 2 | a 1 b 1 | a 2 b 1 | a 3 b 1 | a 1 b 2 | a2b2 | a3b2 | a1b3 | a2b3 | a3b3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 9 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 10 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 11 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 12 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

- $\sim a * b * c$ of $(a+b) * c-m o r e ~ c o m p l i c a t e d ~ i n t e r a c t i o n ~$ models.

Lots of flexibility - see 2.3 and 2.4 of C\&H. Scripts 6.1, 6.2, 6.3.

## Linear models in Splus

$\mathrm{fm} \leftarrow \operatorname{lm}(\mathrm{y} \sim \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}$, data $=$ mydata $)$ where mydata is a dataframe that includes the variables $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and b . fm is an Splus object of class " lm ".

The modelling language in Splus is object-oriented generic functions recognize the class of an object, and invoke class-specific methods.
Examples of generic functions with methods for lm objects are

- fitted() : extract fitted values.
- residuals(): extract residuals.
- coefficients() or coef() : extract coefficients.
- model.matrix() : extract the model matrix that was built from the formula, and used to fit the model.
- summary (): produce a summary of the properties of the fitted model.
- print() : a more succinct summary, also by simply typing the name of the object.
- plot() : produce a plot of the object.
$\operatorname{lm}()$ has a number of additional arguments, such as weights=, subset=, and more; see the (online) documentation, and experiment.

